



DS-210: Programming for Data Science

Lecture 14: Overview of programming languages.





Comment on Homework 4, Questions 3 & 4





Various levels

- Native code
- Assembler
 - pros: as fine control as in native code
 - cons: not portable





Various levels

- Native code
- Assembler
 - pros: as fine control as in native code
 - cons: not portable
- High level
 - various levels of closeness to the architecture: from C to Prolog
 - efficiency:
 - varies
 - could optimize better
 - pros:
 - very portable
 - easier to build large projects
 - cons:
 - some languages are resource-efficient





Interpreted vs. compiled

Interpreted:

- An application (*interpreter*) reads commands one by one and executes them.
- One step process to run an application:
 - `python hello.py`





Interpreted vs. compiled

Interpreted:

- An application (*interpreter*) reads commands one by one and executes them.
- One step process to run an application:
 - `python hello.py`

("Fully") Compiled:

- Translated to native code by *compiler*
- Usually more efficient
- Two steps to execute:
 1. Compile (Rust: `rustc hello.rs`)
 2. Run (Rust: `./hello`)

Compiled to intermediate format:

- Example: Java
 - Portable intermediate format
 - Needs another application, Java virtual machine, that knows how to interpret it





Type checking: static vs. dynamic

Dynamic (e.g., Python):

- checks if an object can be used for specific operation during runtime
- pros:
 - don't have to specify the type of object
 - procedures can work for various types
 - faster or no compilation
- cons:
 - slower at runtime
 - problems are detected late

```
In [1]: def add(x,y):
        return x + y

print(add(2,2))
print(add("a","b"))
print(add(2,"b"))

4
ab

-----
-----
TypeError                                Traceback (most recent call last)
<ipython-input-1-fc0ed4de2672> in <module>
      4 print(add(2,2))
      5 print(add("a","b"))
----> 6 print(add(2,"b"))

<ipython-input-1-fc0ed4de2672> in add(x, y)
      1 def add(x,y):
----> 2     return x + y
      3
      4 print(add(2,2))
      5 print(add("a","b"))

TypeError: unsupported operand type(s) for +: 'int' and 'str'
```



Type checking: static vs. dynamic

Static (e.g, C++, Rust, OCaml, Java):

- checks if types of objects are as desired
- pros:
 - faster at runtime
 - type mismatch detected early
- cons:
 - often need to be explicit with the type
 - making procedures generic may be difficult
 - potentially slower compilation





Type checking: static vs. dynamic

Static (e.g, C++, Rust, OCaml, Java):

- checks if types of objects are as desired
- pros:
 - faster at runtime
 - type mismatch detected early
- cons:
 - often need to be explicit with the type
 - making procedures generic may be difficult
 - potentially slower compilation

C++:

```
int add(int x, int y) {  
    return x + y;  
}
```

Rust:

```
fn add(x:i32, y:i32) -> i32 {  
    x + y  
}
```





Type checking: static vs. dynamic

Note: some languages are smart and you don't have to always specify types (e.g., OCaml, Rust)

Rust:

```
let x : i32 = 7;  
let y = 3;  
let z = x * y;
```





Various programming paradigms

- Imperative
- Functional
- Objective
- Declarative / programming in logic





Memory management: manual vs. garbage collection

Manual:

- Need to ask for memory and return it, more explicitly
- pros:
 - more efficient
 - better in real-time applications
- cons:
 - more work for the programmer
 - more prone to errors

Garbage collection:

- Memory freed automatically
- pros:
 - less work for the programmer
 - more difficult to make mistakes
- cons:
 - less efficient
 - can lead to sudden slowdowns





Memory management: manual vs. garbage collection

Manual:

- Need to ask for memory and return it, more explicitly
- pros:
 - more efficient
 - better in real-time applications
- cons:
 - more work for the programmer
 - more prone to errors

Garbage collection:

- Memory freed automatically
- pros:
 - less work for the programmer
 - more difficult to make mistakes
- cons:
 - less efficient
 - can lead to sudden slowdowns

Rust has many features to avoid memory management errors





Rust

- high-level
- compiled
- static type checking
- manual memory management





Most important difference between Python and Rust?





Most important difference between Python and Rust?

Braces, { }, for code formatting!





Most important difference between Python and Rust?

Braces, { }, for code formatting!

- How do we denote blocks of code?
 - Python: indentation
 - Rust: { . . . }

```
fn hi() {  
    println!("Hello!");  
    println!("How are you?");  
}
```





Most important difference between Python and Rust?

Braces, { }, for code formatting!

- How do we denote blocks of code?
 - Python: indentation
 - Rust: { . . . }

```
fn hi() {  
    println!("Hello!");  
    println!("How are you?");  
}
```

- Don't be afraid of braces!!! You'll encounter them in C, C++, Java, Javascript, PHP, Rust, ...





Discussion section today

Git / Github demo